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## Introduction

Let $u \in C^{2 m}[0, h]$ be given, let $v_{2 m-1}$ be the unique Hermite interpolation polynomial of degree $2 m-1$ matching $u$ and its first $m-1$ derivatives $u^{(j)}$ at 0 and $h$ and let $e=v_{2 m-1}-u$ be the error. Ciarlet et al. [3, Theorem 9] have obtained pointwise bounds on the error $e(x)$ and its derivatives in terms of $U=\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant h}\left|u^{(2 m)}(x)\right|$. Their bounds are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{(k)}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{h^{k}(x(h-x))^{m-k} U}{k!(2 m-2 k)!}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, m ; \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant h . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These bounds are best possible for $k=0$ only. Later, in 1967, Birkhoff and Priver [1] obtained, for $m=2$ and $m=3$, optimal error bounds on the derivatives $e^{(k)}(x)$. More precisely, their results can be described by the following

Theorem A. Let $u(x) \in C^{4}[0, h]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{3}^{(k)}(x)-u^{(k)}(x)\right| \leqslant \alpha_{k} h^{4-k} \max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant h}\left|u^{(4)}(x)\right|, \quad k=0,1,2,3, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{4^{2} 4!}, \quad \alpha_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{216}, \quad \alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{12}, \quad \alpha_{3}=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, for $u(x) \in C^{6}[0, h]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{s}^{(k)}(x)-u^{(k)}(x)\right| \leqslant \beta_{k} h^{6-k} \max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant h}\left|u^{(6)}(x)\right|, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\beta_{0}=\frac{1}{4^{3} 6!}, & \beta_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{5}}{30000}, & \beta_{2}=\frac{1}{1920}, \\
\beta_{3}=\frac{1}{120}, & \beta_{4}=\frac{1}{10}, & \beta_{5}=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{1.5}
\end{array}
$$

Here $v_{3}(x)$ and $v_{5}(x)$ are Hermite interpolation polynomials of degree $\leqslant 3$ and of degree $\leqslant 5$, respectively.

They also noted that, for $m>3$, their method, using Green's function, seems unlikely to be useful. Analogously to using Hermite interpolation polynomials, one may choose to approximate a given function $u(x) \in$ $C^{2 m}[0, h]$ by the so called Lidstone interpolation polynomial (see [4, p. 28]) $L_{2 m-1}(x)$ of degree $\leqslant 2 m-1$, matching $u$ and its first $m-1$ even derivatives $u^{(2 j)}$ at 0 and $h$. It turns out that in this case we can give pointwise bounds on the error and its derivatives in terms of $u=\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant h}\left|u^{(2 m)}(x)\right|$ which are also optimal. An important role in our Theorem 1 (see below) is played by the polynomial $Q_{2 m}(x)$ (Euler polynomial) of degree $2 m$ given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 m}(x)=-\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(x, t) Q_{2 m-2}(t) d t, \quad m=1,2, \ldots \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}(x)=-1 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{1}(x, t) & =t(x-1), \\
& =x(t-1),
\end{align*} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
0 \leqslant t<x \leqslant 1  \tag{1.8}\\
& 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1 .
\end{array}
$$

Clearly from (1.6)-(1.8) it follows that

$$
Q_{2 n}^{\prime \prime}(x)=-Q_{2 n-2}(x), \quad Q_{2 n}(0)=Q_{2 n}(1)=0
$$

Also

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{2 n}^{(2 p)}(0)=Q_{2 n}^{(2 p)}(1)=0, \quad p=0,1, \ldots, n-1 \\
& Q_{2 n}^{(2 n)}(1)=Q_{2 n}^{(2 n)}(0)=(-1)^{n}, \\
& Q_{2 n}^{(2 j)}(x)=(-1)^{j} Q_{2 n-2 j}(x) \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Explicit representation of some of these polynomials is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{2}(x)=\frac{x(1-x)}{2!}, \quad Q_{4}(x)=\frac{x^{2}(1-x)^{2}+x(1-x)}{4!} \\
& Q_{6}(x)=\frac{x^{3}(1-x)^{3}+3 x^{2}(1-x)^{2}+3 x(1-x)}{6!} \\
& Q_{8}(x)=\frac{x^{4}(1-x)^{4}+6 x^{3}(1-x)^{3}+17 x^{2}(1-x)^{2}+17 x(1-x)}{8!}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now state our first result as follows.
Theorem 1. Let $u(x) \in C^{2 m}[0,1]$, let $L_{2 m-1}(u, x)=L_{2 m-1}(x)$ be the unique polynomial of degree $\leqslant 2 m-1$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2 m-1}^{(2 j)}(0)=u^{(2 j)}(0), \quad L_{2 m-1}^{(2 j)}(1)=u^{(2 j)}(1), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m-1 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1$, with $u=\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|u^{(2 m)}(x)\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{(2 j)}(x)-L_{2 m-1}^{(2 j)}(x)\right| \leqslant u Q_{2 m-2 j}(x), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m-1 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|u^{(2 j-1)}(x)-L_{2 m-1}^{(2 j-1)}(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant u\left[(1-2 x) Q_{2 m+2-2 j}^{\prime}(x)+2 Q_{2 m+2-2 j}\right] \\
& \quad \leqslant Q_{2 m+2-2 j}^{\prime}(0), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover (1.11) and (1.12) are best possible.
Note! For $j=0,(1.11)$ is implicitly contained in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.1 of Widder [5].

Our next aim is to give some applications of Theorem A of Birkhoff and Priver to two point Birkhoff interpolation problems. For this purpose, let $f \in$ $C^{6}[0,1]$ and let $H_{5}[f, x]$ be the unique polynomial of degree $\leqslant 5$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{5}^{(p)}\left(f, x_{i}\right)=f^{(p)}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad i=0,1, p=0,2,3 ; \quad x_{0}=0, x_{1}=1 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we may call it the $(0,2,3)$ interpolation polynomial with nodes 0 and 1 . Concerning $H_{5}(f, x)$ we now state the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $f, C^{6}[0,1]$ and let $H_{5}[f, x]$ satisfy (1.13). Then for $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{5}^{(p)}(f, x)-f^{(p)}(x)\right| \leqslant u \max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|f_{0}^{(p)}(x)\right|, \quad p=0,1, \ldots, 5 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|f^{(6)}(x)\right|, \quad f_{0}(x)=\frac{x^{3}(1-x)^{3}+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}(1-x)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} x(1-x)}{6!} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note. If we denote $c_{p}=\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|f_{0}^{(p)}(x)\right|$ then

$$
c_{0}=\frac{11}{64} \frac{1}{6!}, \quad c_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6!}, \quad c_{p+2}=\alpha_{p}, \quad p=0,1,2,3,
$$

where $\alpha_{p}$ are defined by (1.3).
Similarly let $f \in C^{8}[0,1]$. We denote by $H_{7}[f, x]$ the unique polynomial of degree $\leqslant 7$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{7}^{(p)}\left(f, x_{i}\right)=f^{(p)}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad p=0,2,3,4, \quad i=0,1 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x_{0}=0, x_{1}=1$.
Concerning $H_{7}[f, x]$ we shall prove the following:
Theorem 3. Let $f \in C^{8}[0,1]$ and $H_{7}[f, x]$ be the unique polynomial of degree $\leqslant 7$ satisfying (1.16). Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|H_{7}^{(p)}[f, x]-f^{(p)}(x)\right| \leqslant u_{1} \max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|f_{1}^{(p)}(x)\right|, \quad p=0,1, \ldots, 7,  \tag{1.17}\\
u_{1}=\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|f^{(8)}(x)\right|, \\
f_{1}(x)=\frac{x^{4}(1-x)^{4}+(2 / 5) x^{3}(1-x)^{3}+x^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right) / 5+x(1-x) / 5}{8!} \tag{1.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note. If $d_{p}=\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|f_{1}^{(p)}(x)\right|$, then it can be verified that

$$
d_{0}=\left(\frac{93}{1280}\right) \frac{1}{8!}, \quad d_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) \frac{1}{8!},
$$

$d_{p+2}=\beta_{p}, p=0,1, \ldots, 5$, where the $\beta_{p}$ are defined by (1.5). We denote by $k_{3}[f, x]$ the unique polynomial of degree $\leqslant 3$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k_{3}[f, 0 \mid=f(0), & k_{3}|f, 1|=f(1) \\
k_{3}\left[f, \frac{1}{2}\right]=f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), & k_{3}^{\prime}\left[f, \frac{1}{2}\right]=f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{array}
$$

We shall refer to $k_{3}[f, x]$ as quasi-Hermite interpolation polynomials. Concerning $k_{3}[f, x]$, we shall prove the following

Theorem 4. Let $f \in C^{4}[0,1]$, let $k_{3}[f, x]$ be the unique polynomial of degree $\leqslant 3$ satisfying (1.19). Then we have, for $p=0,1,2,3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{(p)}(x)\right|=\left|f^{(p)}(x)-k_{3}^{(p)}[f, x]\right| \leqslant v_{p} \max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|f^{(4)}(x)\right| \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}=\frac{1}{1536}, \quad v_{1}=\frac{1}{96}, \quad v_{2}=\frac{5}{48}, \quad v_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, these constants are best possible as can be verified by choosing

$$
f(x)=\frac{x(1-x)(1-2 x)^{2}}{96}
$$

It seems that the following conjecture concerning (1.1) may be worth mentioning. Let $f \in C^{2 m}[0, h]$ and $v_{2 m-1}(x)$ be the unique Hermite interpolation polynomial of degree $\leqslant 2 m-1$ matching $u$ and its first $m-1$ derivatives $u^{(j)}$ at 0 and $h$. Then

$$
\left|u^{(p)}(x)-v_{2 m-1}^{(p)}(x)\right| \leqslant u h^{2 m-p} \max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant h}\left|f_{2}^{(p)}(x)\right|, \quad p=0,1, \ldots,(2 m-1)
$$

where

$$
u=\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant h}\left|u^{2 m}(x)\right|, \quad f_{2}(x)=\frac{x^{m}(h-x)^{m}}{2 m!}
$$

The above conjecture is true for $m=2$, and $m=3$. For other related interesting results, see [2].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us denote by $L_{2 m-1}(u, x)$ the interpolation polynomial of degree $\leqslant 2 m-1$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2 m-1}^{(2 p)}(u, 0)=u^{(2 p)}(0), \quad L_{2 m-1}^{(2 p)}(u, 1)=u^{(2 p)}(1), \quad p=0,1, \ldots, m-1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit formula for $L_{2 m-1}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2 m-1}(u, x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left|u^{(2 i)}(1) \Delta_{i}(x)+u^{(2 i)}(0) \Delta_{i}(1-x)\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{i}(x)=\frac{2^{2 i}}{(2 i+1)!} B_{2 i+1} \frac{(1+x)}{2}, \quad \text { for } \quad i \geqslant 1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $B_{n}(x)$ denote the well known Bernoulli polynomials:

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} x^{k} B_{n-k}  \tag{2.4}\\
B_{l}=\sum_{k=0}^{l}\binom{l}{k} B_{k}, \quad B_{0}=1 . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the properties of Bernoullis polynomials it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\Delta_{i-1}(x), \quad \Delta_{i}(0)=0, \quad \Delta_{i}(1)=0, \quad i \geqslant 1, \quad \Delta_{0}(x)=x . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $L_{2 m-1}(u, x) \equiv u(x)$ for $u(x) \in \pi_{2 m-1} \quad\left(\pi_{2 m-1}\right.$ denotes the class of polynomials of degree $\leqslant 2 m-1$ ) it follows from the Peano theorem that for $u \in C^{2 m}[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(x) \equiv u(x)-L_{2 m-1}(u, x)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{m}(x, t) u^{(2 m)}(t) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{m}(x, t)$ is the Peano-kernel. Following Widder [5], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(x, y) G_{m-1}(y, t) d y, \quad m=2,3, \ldots \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{1}(x, t)$ is defined by (1.8).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Following the notation used by Birkhoff and Priver [1], we shall denote

$$
G_{m}^{(i, j)}(x, t)=\frac{\partial^{i+i} G_{m}(x, t)}{\partial x^{i} \partial t^{j}}
$$

Now on using (2.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{(2 j)}(x)=u^{(2 j)}(x)-L_{2 m-1}^{(2 j)}(u, x)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{m}^{(2 j, 0)}(x, t) u^{2 m}(t) d t \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us substitute $u(x)=Q_{2 m}(x)$ (as defined by (1.6)) in (3.1) and use various properties of $Q_{2 m}(x)$ as stated in (1.9), we then obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 m}^{(2 j)}(x)=(-1)^{j} Q_{2 m-2 j}(x)=(-1)^{m} \int_{0}^{1} G_{m}^{(2 j, 0)}(x, t) d t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (2.8) and (1.8) it follows that $(-1)^{n} G_{n}(x, t)$ is nonnegative in the unit square $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$. Further, from (2.8) it also follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m}^{(2 j, 0)}(x, t)=G_{m-1}(x, t) ; \quad G_{m}^{(2 j, 0)}(x, t)=G_{m-j}(x, t) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $(-1)^{m-j} G_{m}^{(2 j, 0)}(x, t)=(-1)^{m-j} G_{m-j}(x, t)>0$, in the unit square $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$. Hence, on using (3.1), (3.3) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|e^{(2 j)}(x)\right| & \leqslant u \int_{0}^{1}\left|G_{m}^{(2 j, 0)}(x, t)\right| d t=u\left|\int_{0}^{1} G_{m}^{(2 j, 0)}(x, t) d t\right| \\
& =u Q_{2 m-2 j}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (1.11). Next, we turn to prove (1.12). Due to (3.3) it is enough to prove (1.12) for $j=1$. From (2.8) it follows that

$$
G_{m}^{(1,0)}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{x} y G_{m-1}(y, t) d y+\int_{x}^{1}(y-1) G_{m-1}(y, t) d y
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|G_{m}^{(1,0)}(x, t)\right| d t \leqslant & \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{x} y\left|G_{m-1}(y, t)\right| d y d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x}^{1}(1-y)\left|G_{m-1}(y, t)\right| d y d t \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.2) we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 m-2}(y)=\int_{0}^{1}\left|G_{m-1}(y, t)\right| d t \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On changing the order of integration in (3.4) and making use of (3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|G_{m}^{(1,0)}(x, t)\right| d t & \leqslant \int_{0}^{x} y Q_{2 m-2}(y) d y+\int_{x}^{1}(1-y) Q_{2 m-2}(y) d y \\
& \equiv \chi_{2 m-2}(x) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we note that (on using (1.9))

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{2 m-2}(x) & =-\int_{0}^{x} y Q_{2 m}^{\prime \prime}(y) d y-\int_{x}^{1}(1-y) Q_{2 m}^{\prime \prime}(y) d y \\
& =(-2 x+1) Q_{2 m}^{\prime}(x)+2 Q_{2 m}(x) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Also

$$
\chi_{2 m-2}^{\prime}(x)=(1-2 x) Q_{2 m}^{\prime \prime}(x)=(-1+2 x) Q_{2 m-2}(x)
$$

Since $Q_{2 m-2}(x)$ vanishes only at $x=0$ and $x=1$, it follows that the critical points of $\chi_{2 m-2}(x)$ are $x=0, x=1, x=\frac{1}{2}$. Also $\chi_{2 m-2}(1)=\chi_{2 m-2}(0)$ and

$$
\chi_{2 m-2}(1)-\chi_{2 m-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\int_{1 / 2}^{1}(2 y-1) Q_{2 m-2}(y) d y>0
$$

Thus we conclude that $\chi_{2 m-2}(x)$ has an absolute maximum at $x=0$ and $x=1$. Therefore, from (3.6) and (2.7) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|e^{\prime}(x)\right| & \leqslant u \int_{0}^{1}\left|G_{m}^{(1,0)}(x, t)\right| d t \leqslant u \chi_{2 m-2}(x) \\
& =\left[(1-2 x) Q_{2 m}^{\prime}(x)+2 Q_{2 m}(x)\right] u \\
& \leqslant u \chi_{2 m-2}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

But from (3.7) it follows that

$$
\left|e^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant u \chi_{2 m-2}(1)=-u Q_{2 m}^{\prime}(1)=u Q_{2 m}^{\prime}(0)
$$

This proves (1.12) completely.
The inequalities (1.11) and (1.12) are both best possible. To show this, take $u(x)=Q_{2 m}(x)$, the Euler polynomial defined by (1.6) and (1.7). In view of (1.9), we have $\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|u^{2 m}(x)\right|=1$. Further use of (1.9) and the definition of $L_{2 m-1}(u(t), x)$ given by (1.10) shows at once that $\left.L_{2 m-1} \mid Q_{2 m}(t), x\right] \equiv 0$. Now it is easy to verify that (1.11) is indeed best possible pointwise. A similar argument shows that (1.12) is also best possible. Here again we use the same choice of $u(x)$, namely, $Q_{2 m}(x)$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $f \in C^{6}[0,1]$ and $H_{5}[f, x]$ be the unique polynomial of degree $\leqslant 5$ satisfying (1.13). We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.e(x)=f(x)-H_{5} \mid f, x\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{(p)}(0)=0, \quad e^{(p)}(1)=0, \quad p=0,2,3 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $e(x)$ can be looked upon as the solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{6} y}{d x^{6}}=Q(x) \equiv f^{(6)}(x) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(p)}(0)=y^{(p)}(1)=0, \quad p=0,2,3 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may express (4.3), (4.4) as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=\chi(x),  \tag{4.5}\\
y(0)=0, \quad y(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{4} \chi}{d x^{4}}=Q(x)  \tag{4.6}\\
& \chi(0)=\chi(1)=\chi^{\prime}(0)=\chi^{\prime}(1)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.5) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(x, z) \chi(z) d z \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $G_{1}(x, z)$ is Green's function defined by (1.8). Also, the solution of (4.6) is known from the work of Birkhoff and Priver [1]. It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{4}(x, t) Q(t) d t \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
6 G_{4}(x, t) & =\left(3 t^{2}-2 t^{3}\right) x^{3}+3(t-2) t^{2} x^{2}+3 t^{2} x-t^{3}, & & t \leqslant x, \\
& =\left(3 t^{2}-2 t^{3}-1\right) x^{3}+3(1-t)^{2} t x^{2}, & & t \geqslant x . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G(x, t) Q(t) d t=\int_{0}^{1} G(x, t) f^{(6)}(t) d t \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(x, z) G_{4}(z, t) d z \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G_{1}(x, z)$ and $G_{4}(z, t)$ do not change sign, it follows that $G(x, t)$ is nonnegative in the unit square $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$. Now, using a familiar argument, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(2,0)}(x, t)=G_{4}(x, t) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(l+2,0)}(x, t)=G^{(t, 0)}(x, t), \quad l=0,1,2,3 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the known results of $[1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|G_{4}^{(t, 0)}(x, t)\right| d t=\alpha_{l}, \quad l=0,1,2,3 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.13) and (4.14), (1.14) follows for $p=2,3,4,5$. Thus it remains to prove (1.14) for $p=1$. For this purpose we need to compute $\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}$ $\int_{0}^{1}\left|G^{(1,0)}(x, t)\right| d t$. On using (4.11) we obtain

$$
G^{(1,0)}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{x} y G_{4}(y, t) d y+\int_{x}^{1}(y-1) G_{4}(y, t) d y .
$$

Therefore

$$
\left|G^{(1,0)}(x, t)\right| \leqslant \int_{0}^{x} y\left|G_{4}(y, t)\right| d y+\int_{x}^{1}(1-y)\left|G_{4}(y, t)\right| d y
$$

and we know

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|G_{4}(y, t)\right| d t=\frac{y^{2}(1-y)^{2}}{4!}
$$

Thus we can write

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|G^{(1,0)}(x, t)\right| d t \leqslant \int_{0}^{x} \frac{y^{3}(1-y)^{2}}{4!} d y+\int_{x}^{1} \frac{(1-y)^{3} y^{2}}{4!} d y=\theta(x)
$$

But

$$
\theta^{\prime}(x)=\frac{x^{2}(1-x)^{2}(2 x-1)}{4!}
$$

Thus $\theta(x)$ has only three critical points: $x=0, x=1, x=1 / 2$. Since $\theta(1)>\theta(1 / 2)$ it follows that

$$
\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|G^{(1,0)}(x, t)\right| d t \leqslant \int_{0}^{1} \frac{y^{3}(1-y)^{2}}{4!} d y=\frac{1}{1440}
$$

This proves (1.14) for $p=1$ as well. Proof of Theorem 3 is very similar to the proof of Theorem 2 we will not give any details. Proof of Theorem 4 can be given on the lines of Theorem A so we will not give the details.
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